EdgeX Security WG Meeting

https://wiki.edgexfoundry.org/display/FA/Security+Working+Group

August 3, 2022

Attendees

Participants (6)		—		×
BN	Bryon Nevis (Intel) (Host, me)	↑	 ● ● 	1 24
ВМ	Brian McGinn(Intel)		Sy.	1 24
FT	Farshid Tavakolizadeh - Canonical		<u> </u>	1 24
WL	Jim Wang (Intel)		¥	1 2⁄1
W	Jim White		S <u>v</u>	1 2⁄1
VL	Valina Li (Intel)		Sy.	1 20
	Invite Mute All			

Agenda

- CVE-2022-28948 dispositioning results
 - Yaml parsing issue
 - Will save for future
- Consul's KV organization and security implications / tech-debt
 - Security bootstrapper creates per-service Consul policies
 - \circ $\,$ Each service is allowed only access to its section of the KV store
 - KV store is divided into buckets
 - Core services

- Security services
- Device services
- App services
- PROBLEM: each microservices gets to decide independently whether it is part of core, security, device, or app services
 - When we create the policy we know the known service keys
 - But generally don't know without special logic whether core-data is part of core, or an app service belongs to the app services section
 - As a result, we have to do string parsing on the service key and write custom ACL paths such that "app-" goes into edgex/appsservices/2.0, and "security-" goes into edgex/security/2.0 and "device-" goes into edgex/device/2.0, and everything else into "edgex/core/2.0"
 - Any service that violates the service key naming convention will not have access to consul set up properly
 - Any new types of services such as "wizbang-somename" will get classified as core.
 - UI team has the same problem.
 - Proposal: Edgex 3.0 uses edgex/3.0/<service-key> as the path, and eliminate the extra "appservices, security, core, devices" subfolders in the path.
 - This would also remove a parameter to bootstrap.run()
 - Potential snags? Is it possible to have multiple instances of a device service that have the same service key?
- Answered question about what happens if a service goes offline for more than an hour.

Standing Agenda

- <u>Review Security Board</u>
- <u>Securing Consul Board</u> (skip)
- <u>Review CIS docker scan</u> (will skip unless something changes) (click latest run, go to classic, view console output).
 - Last checked: August 3, 2022 as expected
- <u>Review Snyk</u> (Jenkins) (will skip unless something changes) (<u>Imagelist</u>)
- Review action items from previous week

Action Items

- 7/14/21: Bryon: Update security policy documentation w.r.t. when to use GitHub security advisories to notify users of issues.
- 6/22/22: Bryon: Update known security issue wiki (add GHSA?)