

- It sounds like there's a preference to implement the V2 API in phases, with Geneva being V1.2 and the new API as a beta
  - Confirm this
  - What does this mean for our feature roadmap?
  - How do we decide sequencing of API conversion
    - Dependent on initial scaffolding work
    - Side-by-side functionality of V1/V2 APIs
- Desire for other developers beyond Dell's team to assist once initial scaffolding work is complete
  - We have a rough POC enabling V2 work to proceed alongside of the existing V1 API
  - If we as a community still think this is a good idea, I don't see why there would be resistance to spreading the work out among the member companies
    - Can we get preliminary commitments?
  - More participation will aid in quicker completion
  - Will track work stream as a series of issues in CoreWG Kanban
    - <https://github.com/orgs/edgexfoundry/projects/25>
- Agreement on QA/Test methodology for Geneva
  - RESOLVED as of QA/Test WG call 7-Jan-2020.
  - Blackbox tests remain as is for V1 API.
    - Postman/Newman driven
    - Any changes to V1 API will need to be incorporated
  - Service level tests for V2 API
    - Will be written in Go and run during PR verify job
    - Considered as optional / value-add or best practice
    - Developer responsibility, not QA/Test
    - Should be submitted along with relevant pull request.
  - Role of TAF tests
    - TAF to be located in separate repository
    - TAF to be maintained by QA/Test team
    - To include
      - Service level tests (blackbox equivalents)
      - Integration tests (end to end, platform tests)
      - Performance / benchmarking tests
    - The above to be utilized by Certification WG for 3<sup>rd</sup> party implementation
- How to move OpenAPI docs out of temporary location and into the proper repo?
  - Current <https://github.com/tsconn23/edgex-geneva-api>
  - Target ??
    - edgex-go/api/open-api
    - edgex-go/adr