Core Working Group Agenda (08-Nov-2018)

Attendees:

	Participants (17)	
Q Type to filter		
ТС	Trevor Conn (Dell) (Host, me)	• I 📈
RH	Rodney Hess	S
	Akram Ahmad (Dell)	<u>k</u>
A	Alberto	<u>k</u>
AF	Andrew Foster	🏂 📈
BF	Brandon Forster	
ВН	Bruce Huang	🎽 📈
D-	Drasko - Mainflux	🎽 📈
EW	EdgeX Working Group 2	½ 📈
EA	Emad Attia (Intel)	1/2 📈
EC	Eric Cotter	½ 📈
FT	Felix Ting	1/2 📈
i	ijohnson	1/2 📈
JW	Jim White (Dell)	½ 📈
SW	Sean Williams	<u>Ne</u>
TF	Tom Fleming	1/2 -
t	tonyespy	1

Old Business

- Follow-up action items from last week
 - Blackbox test PDF documentation has been added here
 - <u>https://github.com/edgexfoundry/blackbox-testing/tree/master/docs</u>
 - Walkthrough video recorded by Trevor for local Postman testing
 - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LQU0Xf6EN0U
- Discuss additional logistics (action items) around blackbox test move
 - If no owners for each step, then poor man's method
 - Here are the steps
 - Move tests from blackbox repo to edgex-go (*Trevor*)
 - Define structure within edgex-go repo
 - $\circ \quad \text{Test definitions} \quad$
 - Test execution scripts
 - Documentation
 - CI/CD changes (?? With Jeremy leaving)
 - Repointing of jobs
 - \circ $\;$ Need to establish a timeline for the movement and track it
 - Should we make this a regular item in the QA/DevOps WG call?
 - Request that we keep agenda item on the above call as a check-in
 - Either way, Contributor Guidelines need to be updated
 - Any supporting documentation (docs, videos) will need to be updated
 - Probably need to update Contrib Guidelines w/poor man's method for now

New Business

- Finalize decision on ScheduleEvent property modifications
 - Separate "Owner" property
 - "Service" renamed to "Target"
 - We are good to go to start making changes here.
 - Past Core WG meeting notes include documentation of the decision
 - Tony to create issue for Device Service repos
- Snaps / SMA
 - o Delhi issues
 - Doesn't support working with Snaps via stop/start operations
 - Lack of error checking (false OK returned to client)
 - https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-go/pull/772
 - Comprehensive fix
 - https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-go/pull/750
 - Smaller footprint fix

- Question is to which/whether to include in Delhi dot release
 - Jim/Akram to discuss offline
 - Additional discussions in SMA meeting next week
- Database Abstraction, remove Mongo types
 - o DEMO: Brandon Foster
 - First step = change bson.ObjectID type in all models to string
 - Push any ID generation down to Mongo provider layer
 - Two questions
 - Are we OK exposing internal IDs to outside world? Is there any downside to this?
 - Is there a use case for external apps to give us an identifier (UUID)?
 - Mongo capability research
 - Can BSONIDs be converted to GUIDs. Is there an equivalency? (TREVOR)
- ID = Key (string)

Redis = string (UUID)

Mongo = BSONID

MySQL = long

- Points from Tony
 - BurntSushi lib doesn't have official release, we're using SHA right now. Concerning.
 - Same for Mongo, it's out of date. Do we have a replacement?
 - Not right now. This is driving the database abstraction work.
 - Discuss exposure of db generated keys outside of service boundary
 - Keys versus UUID in usage
 - Key, represented as string value is DB specific, cast by provider
 - Mongo compatibility
 - UUID is a standardized unique identifier
 - Could potentially be supplied by upstream application, not database.
 - Go libraries for UUID generation
 - https://github.com/google/uuid

- ** my personal preference **
- https://github.com/satori/go.uuid
- <u>https://github.com/nu7hatch/gouuid</u> (OLD)

Supplemental notes

- DB \rightarrow Business Layer ID representation
 - Answer question \rightarrow UUID equivalency w/BSON ID
 - o If not equivalent
 - Key (string type) DB PK value
 - UUID → std library type
 - Modify query paths accordingly
 - Indexing in Mongo for lookups

UniqueIdentifier (GUID) **

Key (BSON) – DB Key ** may not want this exposed **

Both will be strings as raw data types