## Core Working Group Meeting Notes (17-Oct-2019)

### Attendees:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>Trevor Conn (me)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
<td>André Srinivasan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>Anthony Bonafide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR</td>
<td>Dave Rensberger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Eric Cotter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JB</td>
<td>Jason Bonafide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JW</td>
<td>Jim Wang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG</td>
<td>Lenny Goodell (Intel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Michael Estrin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZ</td>
<td>Tingyu Zeng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>tonyespy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Walt (Intel)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Old Business

- Go.sum (Andre brought this up in #core)
  - Result of Lenny / Trevor’s comparison
    - Trevor sent go.sum created via 1.13 built to Lenny to see if 1.12 build would work. It did not.
    - This indicates including go.sum in the repo among developers with different versions of Go could break the build (CI uses 1.12)
    - Due to other activities, have not followed up with wider Go community (other sizable projects) on this question
  - Conclusion – For now, we’re leaving it out.

- Status update on Security Integration
  - Any discussion items – Anthony, Diana or Tingyu?
    - We merged PR 1916 and discussed rebase vs squash
      - This sounds to me like an art not a science
      - Merits discussion of the scenario of cmd line vs UI at F2F (Phoenix)
    - Consul
      - Ian Johnson working on docker-edgex-consul
        - Dependency checking
        - Consul not in dev mode
        - Will need to update nightly build docker-compose with appropriate image name when this is ready

New Business

- All major Fuji deliverables have landed
  - Focusing on testing/stability for the next week

- Geneva API Sketch
  - Core-metadata work
  - ErrorResponse
    - Suggestion to add another field to capture an auxiliary error code
    - Discussion around flexibility to capture the full scope of detail that would be useful for troubleshooting
  - Device or DeviceResponse (I’m favoring the latter after the discussion)
  - Currently complex nested types
    - I made the choice to node provide the full state in Device (example) but only the identifiers
    - Subsequent question was “Why do we maintain addressables as state anyway?”
Discuss at F2F

- Upcoming meetings
  - 10/24 – Trevor on vacation (cancel)
  - 10/31 – Trevor on a plane back from France
    - Work on a proposed agenda
    - But will need someone to second (lead) this one.
  - 11/07 – Geneva F2F (will surely cancel this one)
  - 11/14 – Trevor on a plane back from San Francisco
    - Move it to Friday??
  - What should we do about this schedule?
    - Does anyone want to serve as my second to facilitate?

- Any new business?