DevOps Working Group

Thursday June 18, 2020
## Agenda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 Min</td>
<td>DevOps Updates (Hanoi)</td>
<td>Ernesto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Min</td>
<td>Geneva Dot Release Mini-Retrospective</td>
<td>Lisa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Min</td>
<td>Kanban Board Review</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Min</td>
<td>AOB / Opens</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attendees
DevOps WG Update (Hanoi)

- **Performance Optimizations**
  - Build Optimizations for edgex-go:
    - git-semver support
    - SwaggerHub global library
    - Image promotion - On Hold
      - Issue identified with the image promotion process during the dot release
      - go binaries are versioned inside image: `GOFLAGS=-ldflags "-X github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-go.Version=$(VERSION)"

- **DevSecOps**
  - Continued explore of options for addressing Issue #1947 - vetting of OSS dependencies
    - FOSSA evaluation completed and published findings [link]
    - Meeting with FOSSA team to discuss options for possible pro-bono arrangement for business offering for EdgeX Foundry
    - Continue to discuss simplification of requirements with Tony Espy
  - Community Bridge Feature Requests
    - Transitive dependencies for Go modules - findings don’t match other tools like Sonatype - No Update “crickets”

- **Other**
  - `githubsync` - GitHub Automation to create labels used across all EdgeX Foundry repos
    - We added a new label for “dependencies” = Pull requests that update a dependency file
  - Issue #1947
    - Updated Pull Request template on half of the repos *(as per decision in Architect’s meeting 06/15/2020)*
      - Noted: multiple project repos missing the standard template
  - **Code Signing - lftools /sigul replacement**
    - LFTools / Sigul latest version that supports Python 3.x
    - LF encountered some of the challenges within their fork trying to refactor. Sigul to support the latest Python 3.x - WIP
    - LF is now considering an alternative signing tool - clarified that this is a future roadmap item - uncommitted
Geneva Dot Release 1.2.1 mini-retrospective

• **What went right?**
  - Able to stick to the schedule even with hiccup in the schedule, even reduced the schedule
  - Flexible in process with respect to branching
  - Easier in general
  - Good collaboration within the community with testing and finding issues and opening/closing PR’s

• **What could be improved?**
  - Come up with a way to automate the bumping of the sdk version across device services
  - Snyk configuration is a painful. Stabilize before Snyk changes are made. Too many clicks. Snyk paid plan?
  - Need to make sure branching strategy is understood for major releases 2.x
Meeting Minutes

Opens

- edgex-go git semver
  - Tony has concerns about the \(-dev\) tags accumulating. Spike is needed to figure out how to clean up old \(-dev\) tags.
- Multi-arch docker image support stretch goal. May need to think about how to track downloads amd64 vs arm64
- PR template creation when repo is created.
  - Lenny suggest when creating LF ticket for a new repo, the developer can attach PR template file for LF to include.
  - Jim suggests to ask LF to add PR template when creating repos? Should LF own this?
- Spike on dependabot
  - Mike says dependabot can bump versions in a go.mod file for you and create PR’s
  - Mike will own the spike and bring it back into the DevOps WG for discussion/demo
- Next major release 2.x branching
  - Jim says there is a need to make sure branching strategy is understood across the community for major/lts releases.
Hanoi Planning

Scope Discussions
DevOps Scope of Work - Hanoi

• Performance Optimizations
  • Jenkins Pipeline optimizations for edgex-go
  • Explore options from LF for supporting Jenkins on K8s – completed roadmap review within Geneva
  • Explore alternatives to containerization within the builds
    • Explore use of BuildKit to simplify creation of x86/ARM build images so they share a single manifest when published to Docker Hub / Nexus
    • Explore use of Kanico
      • Explore Complete – Will not Work
        • Requires use of K8s persistent volumes and dedicated build agents which are long lived
• Performance of the Build Environment
  • Monitoring / Alerting optimizations (Continuous Improvement Opportunity)
• Technical Debt
  • Caching Dependencies – speed it up (upstream dependencies)
    Reference Linux Foundation roadmap
• Open Horizons Enablement
  • Shared Infra with Open Horizons
  • Build Automation for OH
• Stretch Goals
  • Code Coverage for Jenkins Global Libraries (codecov.io)
  • Snap improvements – build optimizations
  • Support for –race flag with goals to address with Go 1.15 …but there are options
DevOps WG Recap (Geneva)

Geneva (May 2020):

- DevOps Jenkins Pipeline Transformation
  - Introduced new Jenkins Global Libraries for build automation
    - Includes test framework for Groovy code
    - Explore underway to look into code coverage of Groovy code using Codecov.io
  - Semantic Versioning using Intel contributed utility (git-semver) enhanced to include test framework
  - Continuous Delivery via "release-kraken"
  - Developer Enablement – GitHub Project Tracker, GitHub Issue label creation automated, gitcommit linter implemented *
  - New ci-build images and global libraries developed to support Jenkins Pipelines
  - New life cycle policies implemented on Linux Foundation Nexus repositories
  - Automation of the labels across the project
  - GitHub Tracker (Kanban board) – utilized weekly with built in workflow
  - Developer Documentation created for new Jenkins Pipelines
  - Improved performance of all builds to include collaboration with Linux Foundation to drive performance improvements for ARM builds (~15 mins build performance improvements using a new flavor of LF build nodes)
    - X86 build nodes (VM) uses 4cpu – 2gb
    - Arm64 build nodes (VM) now uses 4 cpu – 16gb

DevSecOps scope includes:
  - Snyk Advanced Reporting via Community Bridge - $8K savings on licensing for developer licenses
  - Snyk Docker Hub image scans with weekly reports of new vulnerabilities
  - Snyk CLI of Go integrated into scan stage of Jenkins Pipelines
  - Clair image scans within scan stage of Jenkins Pipelines
  - DevOps contributed code fixes to address CVEs found in images based on Snyk reporting
  - Lftools updated to use latest version – code signing, git tag signing, Docker image signing
Geneva Freeze and Release

TSC approved

- Freeze: 12pm GMT, April 22 (Wed, week before planning meeting)
- Release: 12pm GMT, May 13 (Wed two weeks after planning meeting)

See Geneva release notes for details (on Slack)

**REMINDER:**
We will NOT be branching off master for the Geneva release.

- Includes **EVERYTHING**
- Will not be versioning go modules

Do we need blackbox tests to be an “artifact” of a release?

- QA/Test WG doesn’t require signed tags, but since release kraken can be used to automate the creation of the tag, it would be a signed tag
- If there’s a need to patch Geneva, the tagged blackbox tests would be used
- Since blackbox tests wasn’t previously considered a “release artifact” does it get tagged? – **YES** it does

**Decision:** We now need to consider blackbox tests as a formal artifact. Tag would be generated at the time of the formal release
Geneva Release Schedule

New scope – consider blackbox tests as artifact of the release
  • should have been considered within review of ADR007

Green light decision to release
  • TSC meeting late in the day
  • Multiple issues worked throughout the day

support-rules-engine

Timeline to be reviewed for Geneva Retrospective

Snap label / promotion issue identified
Geneva Retrospective

What went right?

• Smoother release – no branching at code freeze equated to efficiency
• Whole DevOps team was responsive
• Developers embraced the opportunity to create the Jenkinsfiles themselves
• Great collaboration and cross pollination of the information
• Linux Foundation was very helpful and responsive in the release – easier and supported well
• Use of JIRA tickets helped with response times on support / help needed from LF release engineer
• Andrew Grimberg came into the DevOps wg for a roadmap discussion
• Automation of the release went well – good coordination
• Phased approach of the work helped align to sprint cadence
• ADR practice helped with communications across the project
• Ernesto recognized for work on the snaps
• Lisa recognized the good communication / teamwork with Emilio / Ernesto
• Tony / Ian helped with review of the snap automation code – THANK YOU!!
• Risk acceptance / Risk taken - It worked!!
• Dry Run on release automation functionality
• Tony / Ian were responsive wrt Snap store issues – THANK YOU!!

What could be improved?

• Communication gaps
  • support-rules-engine issue related to a change in plan
    • DEPRECATION (Define process needed ??)
• Snap release process could be better understood
  • Need full path to production for snap release process
    • release to beta candidate channel >> stable
    • Time crunch in the end could be root cause for the snap release issue
    • Might need TAF testing for snaps
    • No real hw testing (Akraino community lab – University of New Hampshire)
      • Canonical presentation on how they do hw testing with snaps
  • Need functional testing for snap automation
    • Need to figure out an example service (sample-service)
  • Inability to properly test in a sandbox, test environment
    • Help needed from LF to support ability
  • Release Kraken Improvements (re-liable / tagging)
    • Idempotency
    • Need to specify a commit (might be an edge use case but better)
    • Set up of the snap YAML
  • Manual release of documentation needs fixed
  • Snap store issues (503 error) – length of time to build snaps
Geneva Planning
Scope Discussions
Fuji Release

- Freeze: Oct 23rd (Wednesday)
- Release: Nov 15th (Friday)

![Week Calendar]

- **Start Date:** 10/23/19
- **Code Freeze:**
  - Oct 30th
- **EdgeX F2F in Phoenix:**
  - Nov 15th
- **Update Documentation, Compose Files and Bug Fixes:**
  - Nov 6th - Nov 13th
- **Cut Fuji Branches:**
  - Nov 6th
- **GitHub Issues: Close / Mark for Geneva:**
  - Nov 7th
- **Create Fuji Jobs For Existing Repos:**
  - Nov 12th
- **Scan of EdgeX Images:**
  - Nov 15th
- **Open Tickets with LF for release on 11/15/19:**
  - Nov 14th
- **Finalize Release Notes:**
  - Nov 18th
Geneva – DevOps

**In**
- Full Pipeline transformation for EdgeX services
  - Convert Jenkins JJB Freestyle jobs to Jenkins Pipelines
- Introduce GitHub Org Plugin
- Simplified Jenkinsfile
- Global Libraries to support Jenkins Pipeline transformation
- Add Unit testing to global-libraries (uncommitted) **
- Snyk integration for edgex services
  - As part of Jenkins Pipeline conversion
- Slack integration with Jenkins pipelines
- Nexus Cleanup / Lifecycle Policy

**Out**
- Alternate deployment/orchestration
  - Beyond Docker/Snaps
  - Kubernetes
  - Kata Containers
  - …
- Integration Test Pipelines
- Code signing / Artifact signing **
Geneva Transformation: Architecture
How long does it take? Is this all Geneva scope?

Geneva Transformation

- **Phase 1**
  - Research Spikes
  - Plugin Setup and Configuration
    - Jenkinsfile
    - Jenkinsfile.sandbox

- **Phase 2**
  - Jenkinsfile templates
  - Implementation details get solidified
  - Refactor existing pipelines to use new templates

- **Phase 3**
  - Existing Job Migration

**Full Transformation by Geneva Release - April 2020**
Fuji – DevOps

**In**
- Static code analysis tool identified and integrated into the EdgeX Jenkins Pipeline for Docker image scanning (Clair Server)
- Explore SAST for true static code analysis to include additional tooling such as Fortify / Coverity
- Code and artifact signing with semantic versioning
- Fix Documentation – edgex-go
  - Create a new repo for edgex-docs
- Build Performance Optimizations
  - Pipelines for EdgeX Foundry base build images
  - Basebuild images managed locally within Nexus
  - Leverage PyPi Proxy for local pip dependencies
  - ARM builds – optimization leveraging different high CPU build nodes / OS (ARM Team)

**Out**
- Alternate deployment/orchestration
  - Beyond Docker/Snaps
  - Kubernetes
  - Kata Containers
  - …
- SonarQube – SonarCloud is already in play in the LF
  - Decision: wait to see what codecov.io offers
- Suggestion to rename all of the Jenkins “arm” jobs so as to differentiate 32bit / 64bit architectures
- Full Pipeline transformation for EdgeX services
## EdgeX DevOps Commitments (Fuji)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scope of Work</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Add static artifact analysis into the EdgeX Jenkins Pipeline (analysis of Docker /runtime artifacts, not the source code)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add code and artifact signing with semantic versioning</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct build performance optimizations by:</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Adding Pipelines for EdgeX Foundry base build images</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Allow base build images to be managed locally within Nexus</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Leverage PyPi Proxy for local pip dependencies</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore static code analysis like Checkmarx, Coverity, GuardRails, Synk, SonarQube</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Clair Server landing no longer at risk for Fuji
  - LF committed to implement on AWS and fund with expected completion next week
- gitsemver along with lftools used for artifact signing and semantic versioning
- Jenkins build performance optimizations for base build images completed
- All base build images will now be stored in Nexus (Snapshot):10003
- PyPi enabled as part of Edinburgh scope
- Initial review of GuardRails showed that the product was identifying issues which were not applicable for microservices architecture
## Past / Future Agenda Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size change to use Ubuntu / Debian base build images to support</td>
<td>– race flag for Go Lang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clair scan findings – Discussion developer community if we want to</td>
<td>– Bring into Security WG for discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>break the build when there’s findings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Horizons enablement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment to new LF roadmap self-service offerings – EdgeX use case</td>
<td>– handling holding repositories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release automation - key learnings and sharing with LF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore use of Buildkit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore use of Kanico</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snyk Dashboard Review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attendees & Community Participation – ww14

Community Participation

- Intel
- IoTech
- Dell
- VMWare
- ARM
- Canonical
- LF
- Kong
Attendees & Community Participation – ww15

Community Participation

- Intel
- IoTech
- Dell
- VMWare
- ARM
- Canonical
- LF
- Kong

Participants (12)

James Gregg (Intel) (Me)
Andrew Grimberg (LF)
tonyespy
Anthony Bonafide
Bill Mahoney (Intel)
Emilio Reyes (Intel)
Ernesto Ojeda (Intel)
Jeremy Phelps
Jim White
Joe Pearson (Open Horizon, IBM)
Lenny Goodell (Intel)
Michael Johanson
Attendees & Community Participation – ww16

Attendees

Participants (5/6)
- James Gregg (Intel) (Me)
- Ernesto Ojeda (Intel)
- Tony Espy
- Emilio Reyes (Intel)
- Jim White
- Lisa Rashid-Ranjbar

Community Participation:
- Intel
- IoTech
- Dell
- VMware
- ARM
- Canonical
- LF
- Kong
Attendees & Community Participation – ww17