

## Ireland Pre-Wire

Oct 13-14 (virtual event)

7am-10am (PDT) – Day 1

10am – 1pm (PDT) – Day 2

### Agenda

- Introductions – If needed
- Logistics, Ground rules and expectations of our pre-wire meeting
- Address any Hanoi high priority release items in advance of the freeze
- Ireland Focus
- Review of proposed architectural issues
- Review of Adopter series requirements
  - Any that impact Ireland?
- Review of proposed Scope
  - Tech debt
  - New features/enhancements

### Day 1 attendance

Some people may have joined the meeting after attendance was first captured.

### Logistics, Ground Rules and Expectations

- Attempt to break every hour for 5 minutes (please keep me aware of the time)
- Don't be shy about interjecting your opinion/thought (we may not always call it out explicitly)
  
- Purpose of this 2-day meeting:
  - Not to scope (done during our planning meeting), but outline all the possible scope for this release. What's being considered vs. what is planned, tasked and coordinated
    - This is where big ideas start – but in order for them to actually take root, they must be accepted as “possible” here and coordinated/tasked as part of the planning meeting in November.
    - Allow open debate on the future of the project, key features, technical/architectural direction
    - Identify high priority work and eliminate potential work that is beyond this scope
    - Identify areas where research or design/architectural ideas are necessary in advance of the Ireland scoping. Owners will be assigned to present more details in Nov.
    - Highlight pain points/issues identified by this (Hanoi) release and attempt to offer potential solution options (for determination in the Nov meeting)
  - Outline critical topics/themes/drivers for the next release
    - Example: Hanoi release was about starting V2 API, stability, few features. What will Ireland be about?
    - Collect and share lessons learned

- Expectations
  - Pave the way for a smooth Ireland planning meeting
    - Ireland planning becomes a refinement of the overall potential scope to the determined scope
    - Focus technical debate around the scope of the single release
    - Focus architectural/design questions to the immediate scope
  - At the conclusion of this meeting we have
    - Identified the larger “potential” release scope (if we haven’t talked about it here, there would have to be a very big change to consider it for Ireland)
    - Identified technical issues we must solve (or start to solve) with this release and arranged presentation from opposing options to present at the Nov meeting.
    - Set the tone for the major theme(s) of the Ireland release

### Hanoi Items

Are there any open / pressing Hanoi release items that need to be addressed in advance of freeze date of Oct 28?

### Taking Stock and Ireland Focus

We have much to be proud of as this is the 4th year of development; 6 releases; 7.1 million container downloads; publicly announced adopters

### Reality check

- Is the platform strong or does it have lots of technical deficiencies?
  - Would you use it to build a solution with a customer yet?
  - What is our real competition (Fledge? PTC? Greengrass?)
  - How well do we size up to the competition?
- If we could change tomorrow with no effort, what 2-3 things are our biggest issues that you would address?
  - Usability / ease of use (documentation, simple to understand, etc.)
  - Architecture (more messaging, security, etc.)
  - Stability (LTS, testing, etc.)
  - Other?
- What’s prohibiting getting more people to join the project as contributors?
  - Complexity
  - Personnel/personalities/culture
  - Product direction
- What can the leadership of this project do to improve the product and health of the ecosystem/community
  - Get more involved
  - Stay out of the way (be less involved in day-to-day)
  - Show more leadership, direction setting, goal setting
  - Find more help

What should the focus(es) of Ireland be?

- EdgeX 2.0?

- Stability?
- Some new feature set?

## Architectural Topics (for consideration)

What rises to the level of Ireland consideration?

We don't need to solve the issue here – only consider its conclusion to Ireland

What factors / inputs do we need to answer these questions for the planning meeting?

- V2 API, EdgeX 2.0, and LTS, and certification. How much of this is accomplished with Ireland?
- Upgrade path from v1 to v2 (especially around databases)
  - We said that is upon users/providers for dot releases
  - If we are at V2, must we provide upgrade tools/documentation, etc?
  - What would those look like?
- Kubernetes direction/project
  - Outline the use case/requirements for high availability better
  - What services should be replicated to address scale?
  - What other K8s services would EdgeX look to leverage in a full K8s/HA environment?
  - What services or infrastructure need to be researched as to HA concerns?
- Metrics / control plane data collection
  - We have a list of potential metrics to be collected / made available by each service
  - Per Hanoi planning:
    - All services will use/integrate go-mod-messaging to facilitate sending metrics object messages to a configurable topic
    - A message structure will have to be created for this metric data (different from event/reading)
    - All services will have configuration (which goes in Consul) to say which metrics they provide and the option to turn those metric collections on/off as well as define how often they get collected
    - Application services will be constructed to optionally receive these messages and act on them (the reference implementation receiver in EdgeX) but users can attach their own receiver to the topic
    - Control plane event handling
      - All services will use/integrate go-mod-messaging to facilitate sending control plane events to a configurable topic
      - A structure will have to be created for this control data event (different from event/reading)
      - All services will have configuration (which goes in Consul) to say which metrics they provide and the option to turn those metric collections on/off as well as define how often they get collected
      - Application services will be constructed to optionally receive these messages and act on them (the reference implementation receiver in EdgeX) but users can attach their own receiver to the topic

- Do we offer timeseries database integration
  - In place of Reds?
  - In addition to Redis?
  - Which one? Why?
- Remove value descriptor
  - This was decided with the last release
  - Where do other elements go?
  - Need conveniences/syntax sugar around value descriptor “stuff”
  - Don’t want to get device provide and week through it for VD stuff
- Message bus between DS and core
  - Other point to point message bus implementations
- Collapse of services
  - Example: single core
  - Why
- Core data vs support data
  - Device service to appl service via message bus 1st
  - Device service to core data via message bus 2nd
  - Core data changed to support data 3rd
- What do we do with command service? Will it ever be more than a proxy?
  - Combine with Metadata?
  - Should we separate it with its own DB (separate concerns)?
  - How to provide commands North?
- Distributed services
  - Beyond DS distribution
- Should we be archiving artifacts (Docker images)?
  - Other organizations do not
  - New Docker rules will likely not help here
- Message order in and out of EdgeX services is non-deterministic
  - Is this ok?
  - Would users need messages to stay in order through all the services?
- Alternate language support (Chinese)
  - Made a request of LF for documentation translation
  - Chinese teams ready to help
  - CLI? UI?

## Adopter Series Requirements (for consideration)

### Review

<https://wiki.edgexfoundry.org/display/FA/Monthly+Architects%27+Meeting?preview=/37912817/52330565/Adopter%20Series%20Requirements.pdf> for potential topics.

### Scope Consideration

#### Review scoping deck:

<https://wiki.edgexfoundry.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=52330532&preview=/52330532/54263812/Ireland%20Planning%20Conference%20-%20Detailed%20Agenda-v6.pdf>