EdgeX Planning Conference (virtual meeting)

Jakarta Release
June 21-25
Conference Agenda – Day 1

• Jun 21, Monday (all times in PST; all times tentative and fluid)
  • 7am Introductions & Logistics
  • 7:15am Ireland release
  • 7:30am Business Topics
  • 9:30am Architecture topic tee-up
    • Not a deep dive on the architectural concerns
    • An overview of the topics to be discussed
    • Allow attendees to get up to speed and get context prior to the architectural debates
  • 11am day 1 adjourn
Intros

Name, rank, serial number please
Taking Stock – EdgeX on its 5th year

• A breath - as we complete our largest release to date
  • Much to be proud of in a challenging time
  • A turn toward stabilization for the rest of 2021
  • Figuring out what lies head in the next couple of years (22-23)
  • What features are needed

• What does stabilization mean?

• What are the high priority needs beyond stabilization of 2021?

• How do we grow the organization
  • Prepare for new leadership
Ireland Release

• Code Freeze in order
• How do we stand?
  • Tests
  • Known Issues
Business Topics
Business Topic Agenda

• All time approximate/all times shown in PST
• 7:30 Web site (Andy/Camilo)
  • Current status
  • Website funding for 2021
  • Website revamp
  • Chinese Website
• 7:45 Topcoder challenges (Camilo/Jean Marie)
  • What’s scheduled for 2021
• 8:00 China Hackathon – summer 2021 (Camilo and Melvin)
• 8:15 Industry s Vertical Solutions Group (Jim/Henry)
  • ORRA
  • Adopter series
  • DTC
  • AgStack
  • Other vertical liaisons we should target?
• 8:30 Endorsement & Certification program (Rodney)
  • Add support for v2 device profiles acceptance to EdgeX Ready
  • Expand supported device profiles for EdgeX Ready for which:
    • An EdgeX reference implementation device service exists
    • We have a validator
  • Continued support for v1 device profiles in EdgeX Ready
  • Improve website for EdgeX Ready approved device profiles
  • EdgeX Certified program on hold until an LTS candidate identified

Vote today
TSC at-large
Architecture Tee Up
Jakarta Major Themes and Objectives

• Stabilization release
  • Meaning?
• LTS release
  • Meaning?
• Additional features considerations:
  • Service list ADR implementation
  • Registry ADR implementation

Break until 30 minutes after hour
Architect’s Topics list

• Tools and documentation for Ireland migration – what’s needed and when
• Add interval/interval action statically (by configuration)
• Send commands to group of devices based on label
• Last connected – what’s needed
• Error message standardization – do we need to do more
• SMA – what does the future of system management look like and when would we make a change
• Metrics collection – per ADR
• Unit of Measure – per ADR discussion and timing on implementation

• Revisits (based on notes from last meeting or other context)
  • Kong on all the time (secure and non-secure modes); impacts to proxy setup
  • Declarative Kong
  • Modifying device profiles on the fly (dynamically add resources)
  • Device Simulator – playback simulator
  • High availability – when, if ever, to consider again
  • Signing of Docker images for Docker Hub
• New
  • Get rid of ZMQ? If ever - when
  • Get rid of YAML? If ever - when
  • Message bus between app service and command and command and DS
  • Architecture decisions (from small to large) – best place to track them and announce them
Conference Agenda – Day 2

• June 22nd, Tuesday (all times in PST; all times tentative and fluid)
  • 10:00am Release cadence check & release naming
  • 10:15am EdgeX TSC at-large elections
  • 10:30am Ireland release
    • Issues, tests, changelogs, etc.
  • 11am Architecture topics discussion and decisions
    • Topics relevant to upcoming release having priority, discussion of architectural design - with goal of making decisions that are particularly relevant and impactful to upcoming release
  • With any remaining time, we will start to explore Jakarta scope
  • 1pm day 2 adjourn
EdgeX Release Cadence
Semi-Annual Pulse Check
Cadence Check

• April & Oct/Nov remain target release months
  • F2F about 1 month in advance of release to allow for some community coding opportunities
  • Jakarta release – Oct/Nov 2021
  • Kamakura release – April 2022
  • Levski release – Oct 2022
  • M release – April 2023

• Venue for next F2F Meeting (April 2022)
  • With travel restrictions lifted – everyone in favor or a F2F
  • Volunteers, suggestions on where to hold this meeting?

• Conferences – marketing committee update; any changes?
  • At least 2 x large marketing/promotional events (Hannover Messe, IoT SWC)
  • At least 1 x developer focused event
  • Virtual events?

We changed for this release. Do we have a new policy?

M release named by Brett Preston
TSC at-large seats

- Nominees: Tony Espy, Gavin Lu, Melvin Sun, Henry Lau
- Voting system results and next steps

- [https://civs1.civs.us/cgi-bin/opt_in.pl](https://civs1.civs.us/cgi-bin/opt_in.pl)
- Voting – validate by Thursday
- Open vote until – Thursday July 1st
- TSC chair nominations after that
Ireland Release

- TAF tests – **all good**
- Issues
  - [https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-go/issues/3586](https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-go/issues/3586) (attempting to query for 65K of readings) – issue being closed and worked by IOTech with adopter
  - [https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-go/issues/3585](https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-go/issues/3585) (issue of failure to register with 60 second startup time – asking adopter to increase to 90)
  - Profile “.” (dot) issue
  - Ireland snap – errors in Consul for ACL not found after system sleep
    - Vault tokens got revoked
    - Services have to be running to keep renewing Vault token
    - [Need to address this issue in documentation](https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-go/issues/3585) (running on lower power device with security – need to keep service running)
    - May be an issue for consideration in Jakarta release (related – what if system reboots on power loss, etc. that goes beyond TTL for tokens)
  - App Service Configurable – expiration of tokens when profile switches on restart or new start of service to switch (to be looked at with expiration of Vault Tokens for Jakarta)
- GUI ready – include or handle after the release
  - NPM updates?? Jim to work with team
EdgeX Meeting Times Relook

• Mondays
  • Device Services @ 8am PDT
  • Certification & Outreach/Marketing alternate @ 9am PDT
  • Architect’s meeting once a month @ 10am PDT

• Tuesdays
  • Occasional Adopter series meeting @ 8am PDT
  • Often none
  • App Services in morning/evening alternate 9am 3:30pm PDT

• Wednesdays
  • TSC @ 8am PDT
  • Security @ 9am PDT

• Thursdays
  • Core @ 8am PDT
  • DevOps @ 9am PDT
  • App Services @ 3:30pm PDT alternate with Rules Engine

• Friday
  • App Services @ 8am PDT

Any changes?
Start, stop, continue (improve?)

• Monthly architect meetings
  • More/less frequent?
  • Reduce frequency during summer

• Adopter Series
  • Bi-monthly? Yes – and reduce over summer

• Attendance drop in TSC, marketing and other meetings
  • OK – we have who we have
  • Are we covering the right material at the right depth during the meetings
  • What can we eliminate or should think about adding
    • WG updates, ADR summaries, event announcements, etc.

• Is there a need for more “beginner” Q&A/on boarding
  • Issue: how to point people to most recent docs
Architectural Discussion/Decisions
Jakarta Major Themes and Objectives

• Stabilization release
  • Meaning?
• LTS release
  • Meaning?
• Additional features considerations:
  • Service list ADR implementation
  • Registry ADR implementation
Discussion – major themes & objectives

- What does stabilization release mean? What are the rules of feature entry?
  - No major architectural changes: adding new endpoints, enhance a service (but not how a service works, not how configuration is organized)
  - Non-backward compatible features are excluded
  - More focus on testing on areas that are not the default
  - And robustness -> restarting of services, or environmental (ex OS, or hardware) conditions may show issues with 2.0

- While this Jakarta release is expected to be a “stability release”, the community did agree that this release could be used to design out/experiment with new implementation features so long as they are feature flagged, could not impact the stable implementation, and do not bloat the service size.

- LTS
  - There are questions if LTS is the right term for this?
  - There are questions about how long we support, and what support means.
  - What is our LTS cadence?
  - How long we support a release
  - Do we need to archive (store in an EdgeX repo) anything so we can build in the future (availability of artifacts like Go, Consul, etc.) – Ernesto to do some research /thoughts on this for planning
  - This is documented but open for reconsideration or reaffirmation of the documented approach.
    - https://wiki.edgexfoundry.org/display/FA/Long+Term+Support

- Links for consideration
  - https://github.com/kubernetes/community/issues/2720
  - https://nodejs.org/en/about/releases/
Service List

- Per [ADR](#)

- A new "seed script" (aka - seed service) will come up first before all other EdgeX services (whether in secure or unsecure mode).
  - a. This seed service populate Consul (or implementation of the registry/configuration service) with a list of potential services that EdgeX will be running when the EdgeX instance is up.
    The seed service will populate a "global" configuration area in the registry/configuration service with the list of potential services.
  - b. In the event Consul is not running, the seed service will populate a shared volume or other shared file. It is unlikely that EdgeX will implement this form of service list management, but it could be implemented by adopters if use of Consul is not desired or prohibited. Using a shared volume or file would also create complexities in a distributed environment and would be left to the adopter to solve.

- The original list of potential services used to populate Consul (or the shared volume/file) will come from a TOML configuration file provided to the seed service. a. Use of this TOML file to provide the seed service with the potential list of services allows environmental variables to be avoided in defining the list of potential services.

- All services (security or otherwise) can query Consul (or the volume/shared file) for a list of potential services (during the bootstrapping / init phase) or actual running services (after bootstrapping/ init phase). The registry API (defined by the EdgeX registry abstraction) will be augmented to provide the query capability.
  - a. After seeding, Consul (or the shared volume/file) is the single point of authority/consistency for the list of services (potential or running).
  - b. Additional registry APIs will be provided to add/remove services.
  - c. Services can be removed but they can also be stopped or suffer a crash. When a service is removed (via the API) it is no longer considered an EdgeX service (unless added back). A service that is stopped (for example to make some sort of configuration update) or a service that crashes (and will eventually be restore) are still registered with and considered valid services so far as Consul (or the shared volume/file) is concerned. In other words, crashed or stopped services is considered a temporary state.

- This implementation will allow some of the client configuration information defined in each service's configuration.toml to be reduced.
  - The location of the any client service could be obtained through a registry query of Consul (or the shared volume/file). Therefore client service host, port can be removed from the service configuration files.
  - This also removes/reduces redundant/conflicting sources of service list information

- Issues to still be determined
  - Where does the "seed script" live. Is it a seed service or some existing service? Metadata, Consul setup script, a security service, etc.?
  - We must consider how this is implemented for both secure and non-secure mode (meaning using a security service to be the seed may not be appropriate)
Registry ADR

• Per ADR
• all services will use the command line option approach with the ability to override with an environment variable.
• The new -cp/-configProvider command line option will be added to each service
• All services in the edgex-go mono repo use the new common bootstrap functionality.
WHEN

• With these other architectural discussions, since Jakarta will be a stability release, can we identify when these are addressed?
  • Priority of need
  • Dependency for or on something
  • High demand
Architect’s Topics list

• Tools and documentation for Ireland migration – what’s needed and when
• Add interval/interval action statically (by configuration)
• Send commands to group of devices based on label
• Last connected – what’s needed
• Error message standardization – do we need to do more
• SMA – what does the future of system management look like and when would we make a change
• Metrics collection – per ADR
• Unit of Measure – per ADR discussion and timing on implementation

• Revisits (based on notes from last meeting or other context)
  • Kong on all the time (secure and non-secure modes); impacts to proxy setup
  • Declarative Kong
  • Modifying device profiles on the fly (dynamically add resources)
  • Device Simulator – playback simulator
  • High availability – when, if ever, to consider again
  • Signing of Docker images

• New
  • Get rid of ZMQ? If ever - when
  • Get rid of YAML? If ever - when
  • Message bus between app service and command and command and DS
  • Architecture decisions (from small to large) – best place to track them and announce them
Tools/docs for migration

• Documentation is needed for changes (and migration?) on
  • configuration files (TOML),
  • device files (new configuration for devices),
  • database migration (from V1 to V2 document stores),
  • device profiles

• Need documentation as part of Ireland docs
  • Doc that outlines v1 to v2 migration (what changed, was added, removed, etc.)
  • Needed or SDKs and core/supporting services
  • Needed for app or device services if they have specific config not found in SDK

• Need one doc that outlines changes from v1 to v2 device profile

• Is there an expectation to have some help?
• What about configuration in Consul? Don’t want to wipe out what’s there
  • Changed path so can’t edit in place
  • Want to support roll back
  • Having 2.0 namespace helps
Interval/Interval Action Adds

- [https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-go/issues/2996](https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-go/issues/2996)
- Can Intervals and IntervalActions be separated out into their own file
  - Not an issue for scheduler – static definition feature already there
  - This is issue of environment overrides - do not allow you to add to maps
  - NGH – ever

- PW – static definition in TOML (Jakarta) – make scoping discussion
Command to devices based on label/group

- [https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-go/issues/1884](https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-go/issues/1884)

- Addressed when we do a message bus from north to south?
- We don’t have a good way to send multiple commands across device services – would require some sort of new command definition
- Sending many commands to a device service – seems reasonable
  - We have a way to do multiple “commands” or things on a device
  - Target is to have a way to make one (same) command request to multiple devices
    - Potentially specify by device label
  - When: use case demand seems lacking. Stretch for Jakarta (part of scoping discussion)
Last Connected

• Last Connected v LastReported
• Do we need both?
• What’s the difference?
• Who updates what?

• Remove LastReported in a future release
• Keep LastConnected
• Call out difference in docs (mark LastReported as deprecated)
  • Disabled by default (for performance) – this should also be documented
• Make sure it is not a non-backward issue when we do
• Should only set LastConnected in D.S.
  • Check this is the case
Error message standardization

• Med - per Core WG meeting of 4/22/21 - how much info should be put in errors and log messages.

• There is a concern about putting too much information in from those familiar with commercial products.

• Temporary decision was to provide enough so that someone could debug the problem with the information provided and not to be concerned with exposing intellectual property since everything is open source.

• Content -> Need a survey to address – don’t believe we have an issue but fix/address as we see problems.

• Format and what’s Error/Info/Warning – should be addressed in future architect’s meeting and documented.
Future of SMA

• Service list issue (how to easily add/remove services)
• Executor (only Docker or Linux)
• Executable Metrics (CPU/Memory)
• Delivery to user (pull by REST v. pull)
• Duplication of service status (Consul)
• If we were to redo SMA, what would it look like
• When would we target a rewrite
  • SMA marked deprecated with Jakarta – and not in default services compose
    • Make it easy to put back in with compose builder
    • Not going to do anything more with it
    • Need its replacement defined with metrics and CPE ADRs and more to come
Metrics ADR

- [https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-docs/pull/268](https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-docs/pull/268)
- Unique name for metric
- All services use go-mod-messaging
- Send service metrics to appropriate topic
- Need DTO for metric
- When would we target implementation?
UoM ADR

- [https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-docs/pull/386](https://github.com/edgexfoundry/edgex-docs/pull/386)

- an EdgeX property will be optionally associated to each device resource to stipulate which unit of measure standard (or specification, code list, etc.) is applied to the unit of measure of the device resource.

- The unit of measure standard would be specified as a string in the device profile. Note each device resource could specify a different unit of measure standard.

- Not validate the existence of the specification or standard

- When would we target implementation?
Discussion – Kong on all the time

• Kong on all the time (secure and non-secure modes); impacts to proxy setup
  o Allow the path to be consistent
  o Adopter feedback sought
  o Requires proxy setup – that’s going to required secure and non-secure forms
  o Declarative Kong (non-secure) – as default to setup the paths; proxy setup for secure part
    ▪ Colin to provide background Declarative Kong pre planning
    ▪ YAML configuration based

▪ Kong becomes a URL rewriter

▪ Could delete a lot of test code so they go through single URL base and tests in secure and non-secure mode which could be eliminate

▪ Some rewrite – proxy setup service

▪ Leave for Jakarta or stretch for Ireland
Discussion – Dynamic Device Profiles

• Modifying device profiles on the fly (dynamically add resources)
  • CommandResource – allow get/set
  • Other needs per LLRP
  • Modify profiles on the fly – dynamically add resources
  • What about updates & deletes?
Declarative Kong

• Allowing us to drop Progress DB
• But can you configure groups/users ACL
• Only supports JWT users
Other Architecture Subjects

• Device Simulator – playback simulator
  • Do we still want this? As a separate device service? When?
• High availability – when, if ever, to consider again
• Declarative Kong (Colin)
• Signing of Docker images
  • Enable signing of EdgeX images for Docker Hub (#2470).
  • Caveats: Will need to work with the LF to figure out if Sigul supports this.
  • Issues exist with Sigul:
    • depends on python 2.7 out of date
    • new version exists, but requires a substantial amount of work from the LF to update
    • May be better to wait until the Sigul update before proceeding with this work
New Concerns

• Get rid of ZMQ? If ever – when
  • Who uses and why
  • Broker vs brokerless
• Get rid of YAML? If ever – when
  • Consistency of configuration types
  • YAML hard to write/space dependent
• Message bus between app service and command and command and DS
  • When to offer message bus north to south (QoS, etc.)
• Architecture decisions (from small to large) – best place to track them and announce them
Conference Agenda – Day 3

• June 23, Wednesday (all times in PST; all times tentative and fluid)
• 7:00am Ireland release
  • Carry over
  • Lessons learned
• 7:30 Jakarta scoping (complete on Day 4)
  • General
  • Core & Supporting
    • Client tools
    • System management
  • Testing / QA (will now move under Core)
• Application and Analytics
  • Rules engine and other analytics
• Device Services

• Security
• DevOps
• Outreach
  • Certification
  • Vertical Solutions
  • Marketing
• 11am day 3 adjourn
Ireland release

• Go mods to be tagged today (after planning meeting)

• Issues
    • Could impact core contracts
    • Shouldn’t require description on update of device (needs fixed)

• Tests/issues
• Coap service and devops
  • Jenkins file needed (next week – target 30th at latest)

• GUI
  • Jim to work with UI team npm security issues

• Changelogs
  • Priority order
    ✓ Go-mods
    • SDKs
    • services
Ireland Release Issues

• Carry Over
  • Features we really wanted but missed & why?
    • We made a lot of breaking changes (V2, secure Consul, secure Kong, …). We may pay price for that.
    • DS Record / Replay
    • DS C side – secret provider for all and secrets in general
    • AS - Fork the pipeline and allow multiple pipelines in one service
    • AS - pipeline per topics/per profile
    • AS – integration to AI/ML examples
    • Implement and enforce Max Request Size
  • Stuff we did that turns out we didn’t need?
    • ADRs – little less time but way out front of development

• Lessons Learned
  • Wins
  • Areas of improvement
Lessons Learned

• Wins
  • V2/TAF
    • Lot of work, scheduled well, coordinated well
    • Lot of redesign as we went along
  • Behaving more “agile” in project nature
  • Breaking changes – we got a lot done; removed future issues
    • Even things that weren’t originally on our radar like port adjustments
  • EdgeX doing well in China
  • Slack communications
  • EdgeX Website
    • EN/Chinese

• Losses/challenges
  • Some design decisions seemed to rushed
  • Redesign did cause churn
  • Did we tackle too much
  • Outreach organization
  • Need for hackathon like outreach – COVID impact, but how do we get more developers
  • Not overlay freeze week with planning meeting
  • Need 3 weeks for freeze with LTS
Jakarta Scope
Considerations

- Is there a champion / developer to drive the solution & get the work done
  - Who
  - Timeline
  - Dependencies
- What is high priority and what is a stretch goal?
  - T-shirt size it
    - Small – one release; one WG; one service; approximately one man to complete
    - Med – one release; many services;
    - Large – one release; all services; could take someone all release to finish
    - X-Large – multi-release and probably more than one service
General

- New
  - Tools/scripts for DB migration
    - Possible for configuration too
    - Documentation first
  - Tech Debt
    - Enable CORS (for API Gateway and service APIs in general)
      - T-shirt – Medium because it is across all services; "Just a header" but that is some design work
      - Just in API gateway for Jakarta (should be straightforward)
        - Stretch to add in services natively (require other WGs)
      - Security owns
    - Removal of any legacy V1 code
    - Upgrade Go – no burning bridge; assume 1.17 will be out and we evaluate
    - Upgrade 3rd party components
      - Do we stop pinning to the patch release for 3rd parties when we have an LTS?
        - Decision: Keep pin to patch, but on any LTS release trigger (like a bug or CVE issue we have to fix in EdgeX) we also upgrade to latest patch for these 3rd party
      - Redis 6.2.4 @ latest
      - Consul 1.9.6 (v 1.9.5)
      - Kong 2.4.1 @ latest
      - Vault 1.7.2 @ latest
      - Which have LTS? None that could be found
    - Upgrade YAML library to v3
    - Replace poor 3rd party libs
      - ZMQ, logfmt, goburrow for modbus etc
      - For LTS – yes we want to move as long as we don’t have to rearchitect/design (ZMQ as an example that would require lots of redesign)
        - Core WG task – relook each. Make determination on scope of change, impact. Only make a change when not impacting arch/design
      - Add to architecture meeting topics: relook policies for 3rd party libs and how to evaluate, especially for device services. Also, should we start to fork these “immature” libraries

Docs and example updates as part of Ireland
Core and Supporting

• New
  • Improve ability to add interval/interval actions statically
  • Send commands to group of devices based on label

• Tech Debt
  • MaxRequest Size a config setting – there now but not being used (DS use?)
    • In scope – included App Services too (we think it is already handled in DS)

• Test/QA
  • Test config alternates
    • Configuration testing – testing non-default and dynamic config changes
    • In scope - additional TAF tests, need to identify critical different configs we need to test (need a test plan – prioritize high/med/low the tests)
    • T-shirt size: X-Large – high priority (make sure we scope it so it is now all consuming of resources just for this)
      • High priority tests for this release – things that people are really going to use/change (ex: persistence on/off; security not so much)
  • Test without optional services, message bus v REST, etc.
    • Message bus v Rest part of config changes
    • In scope – TAF test without core data running is a separate test
    • In scope – TAF tests without support services (scheduler/notifications)
      • T-shirt size – small; Core WG
  • Improved integration test (Kuiper) – needs to be scoped (out of scope for now until we have Kuiper release details)
  • Improved stress tests
    • Stretch goal: Stress test with MQTT in addition to Modbus
Core and Supporting

• Improved performance testing (other device types, CBOR, etc.)
  • With security on – in-scope; T-shirt size small
  • In scope: One off test – check whether running with all CBOR to check against JSON performance (then decision by TSC)

• Upgrade testing – **backward compatibility tests; bring them back**
  • Would need to be update to TAF
  • Run Ireland tests; don’t clean; run tests with Jakarta
  • In scope; T-shirt size - medium

• Test other device services/ testing on real hardware
  • Ideally, test all device services and test with real hardware
  • **Device service testing is lower priority than above**
    • In scope – TAF tests for MQTT-(not requiring hardware)
      • REST stretch goal
    • In scope – TAF tests for camera (requiring hardware)
    • In scope – TAF tests for BACNet (with simulator and a C DS)
    • T-shirt size – Large with Core and DS WG; Core in charge right now

• Some additional endpoint additions
  • Query on time range of events/reading (Jim to get reference)
    • In scope – small
  • Query by resource name – add “device name” and “device profile name”
    • New issue; needs a little research/design
    • In scope - small
EdgeX “Clients” – GUI/CLI

• CLI – after V2 update?
  • Everything else is stretch at best
    • Additional APIs
    • Address CLI working with security on - allowing CLI to work with EdgeX on a remote instance
    • Support for i18n/l10n (icebox)
  • #0 move to new technology and V2
  • #1 priority - create a device UI “wizard” that allows adding a new device much quicker.
• GUI - Implement the roadmap
  • Need the ability to “click and see” the data
  • See the data in the core database
  • Perhaps peek at the messages coming from the core->app services bus
  • Integrate with something like Grafana for additional visualization
  • May require we export data to a time series database or use Redis time series database
• #3 priority – SMA integration
  • Offer metrics visualization of memory and CPU offered by SMA
  • Get the status of the services (up / down)
  • Provide ability to call on start/stop/restart of services
• Branding per new web site
• Consider GUI in secure mode?? Not in scope
• TAF or other testing support
App Services & Analytics

- **New**
  - AI / ML example
    - Important
    - Use something already created and form that into an “official” example
    - Call to community for help
  - LLRP to v2/2.0
    - In scope – medium (who does??)
    - Post LLRP acceptance
  - Possibly in scope; may be architectural change
    - Fork the pipeline (lower priority)
    - Pipeline per topics
    - In scope: create design for these; stretch implementation
      - Tshirt – small; implementation – medium (for pipeline per topic)
- **Tech Debt**
  - TAF tests for app services
    - Store and Forward
    - Batch send
    - Multiple endpoints
    - Mqtt publish placeholders
    - In scope – medium in scope (its big for app services)
    - Need to explore unit test vs TAF tests and figure out how to cover
  - SDK hangs when calling docker-compose stop – can’t recreate – need to disposition whether this is an issue or not
    - In scope to disposition (to be done with LLRP – if not recreatable – close it)
    - Tshirt – small
    - Dependent on v2 upgrade of LLRP AS
DevOps – new & tech debt

- Documentation for more edgeX-global-pipeline functions (Medium)
  - Some work started in Ireland
  - Developer facing part is to be done
  - In scope – medium; devops owns
- Figure out if it is possible to remove Dockerfile.build (Small) – in scope
- Figure out how if it is possible to commit go.sum back with Go 1.16 (Small)
  - In scope – first determine feasibility
  - Remove need for go mod tidy
- Upgrade all DevOps Python scripts to Python 3.9 (Small)
  - Get rid of older Python unsupported
- Address any build images that need to be updated over time.
  - Golang
  - Gradle
  - etc.
  - More process in nature
- Go 1.17 upgrade (when applicable) (Small)
- Developer Badging (Medium)
  - Almost done – implement automation/CI
  - Lower priority but really nice to have
  - In scope
- Prep for switch to semantic-release (Large)
  - Spike: research changelog plugin
  - Spike: research DockerHub plugin (Rebuild in nexus and push to dockerhub)
  - Spike: research GitHub Release plugin (edgeX-cli)
  - Spike: research Custom plugins (for edgeX-compose)
  - Spike: research best way to automate release (centralized vs decentralized)
  - Spike: misc plugin research
  - Spike: Small POC cut-over sample-service to use semantic-release
  - Helps to eliminate tech debt
  - Will not impact Jakarta stability – really in place for following releases
- Do we need to archive (store in an EdgeX repo) anything we can build in the future (availability of artifacts like Go, Consul, etc.) – Ernesto to do some research/thoughts on this for planning
  - Should we be forking libs, 3rd party packages, etc?
  - “Vendorizing” or Archive
  - In scope – Xlarge – DevOps owns to begin
    - First get a list (go.sum, npm,)
    - Figure out how to archive Go, C, JS, etc. libs
    - Figure out how to archive the 3rd party products (Consul, Kong, ...)
    - High priority
    - May be manual at first
- Signing Docker Hub images
  - Lot of issues with sigel tool
  - Security WG to find out how to sign (possible scope security)
Security

• New
  • Micro service authentication – ADR first (in scope; medium); implementation is out
    • Build micro service communication security (service to service - #1950)
    • Enable Vault identity Secrets (#2456) – another way to create JWTs
  • Static code analysis with Go code (LGTM replacement)
  • Enable CodeQL for Go repository services
    • Initially done for App Services SDK
    • Nothing found yet (checking code coming in and maybe not existing code); doesn’t appear disruptive
    • Next test – edgeX-go (in scope – Core WG to add; small)
  • #1 - Service restarting (security services at large) – high priority; in scope
    • Build a secret store unsealing daemon (#1944)
    • Address Dynamic services (including services that are initially disabled)
    • Address stability concerns
  • #3 - Phase 3 of Consul implementation – (Security team to provide details on what this is – and how we’ll do it for dynamically added services)
    • Implement the ACLs
    • In scope; size – medium (impacts other services but no changes to other services – presuming services are well behaved; i.e. only register themselves only touch their configuration)
  • #2 - Enable CORS (for API Gateway and service APIs in general)
    • T-shirt – Medium because it is across all services; “Just a header” but that is some design work
    • Just in API gateway for Jakarta (should be straightforward)
      • Stretch to add in services natively (require other WGs)
    • Security owns

• Tech Debt
  • none

• Docker image signing?
  • Out of scope for Jakarta
  • Later release

• Sec / DevOps
  • Re-engage LFX for code scanning – small
    • Looking for EdgeX to be beta tester
  • Install some linter (Go Lang CI linter – add to make file)
    • Part of make test
    • Requires config file to know what to look at
    • Looking for potential bugs
    • Core WG to explore initial config file
    • DevOps to implement against Guinea pig repository

• Add service gated by ACL to provide capability for onboarding
  • Security WG research – in scope
  • Tony homework to define
  • Related to service list ADR
  • Any implementation as stretch goal
Move to future architecture discussions

• What about distributed services?
  • Prerequisites
    • Need on demand token
    • Need to get token off node

• SDO/Fido integration
  • Needs to be done on a secure network

• Goal for EdgeX 3.0??
  • HA/distributed services
  • Secure micro service comms
  • Incorporating SDO
Snaps

- Redis in the snap triggers apparmor denials TBD
- Token handling (with app services and profiles)
  - Canonical research and suggestions
- Modify install hook to drop usage of SNAP_INSTANCE_NAME (closed)
Device Services

• New
  • Add record and replay function (new device service) – low impact with new device service
  • Allow for dynamic updates to device profiles
  • Implement Validation of ProtocolProperties – without breaking change?? May be hard for backward compatible
  • Support regular expressions in assertions
  • Always send CBOR for performance (test first??) – do for testing; then depending on results change the default
  • Remaining DS contributions
    • UART, LLRP, ...

• Tech Debt
  • DS SDK Go – update last connected
  • Device stanza in configuration – but not implemented; should drop them (init commands and remove commands as example)
  • Archive holding DS that will not be done (Bluetooth, OPC-UA, )
  • SDK test suites?

• Distributed device service example for Jakarta
• Static definition of provision watchers (in TOML) per architectural discussion.
• Sending many commands to a device service – seems reasonable (per arch discussion - have a way to make one (same) command request to multiple devices (possibly using labels). Stretch for Jakarta (part of scoping discussion)
• DS Record / Replay
• DS C side – secret provider for all and secrets in general
Outreach

• Certification
  • EdgeX Ready v2
  • Any other items from Biz Discussion carry over for Cert.

• Vertical Solutions
  • ORRA – what’s next
  • AgStack vertical solution group & demo
  • Digital Twin Consortium?
  • More Adopter series

• Marketing
  • Chinese Web Site
  • Blog a month/Vlog a month

• PRC evangelism – how to support, how to leverage, etc.
Conference Agenda – Day 4

• June 24, Thursday (all times in PST; all times tentative and fluid)
  • 10am Jakarta scoping – day 2
    • General
    • Core & Supporting
      • Client tools
      • System management
    • Testing / QA (will now move under Core)
    • Application and Analytics
      • Rules engine and other analytics
    • Device Services
  • Security
  • DevOps
  • Outreach
    • Certification
    • Vertical Solutions
    • Marketing
  • Architectural Issues – past Jakarta
  • Conference Wrap up
    • planning meeting action items
    • Planning meeting lessons learned/changes
  • 1pm day 4 adjourn
Lessons Learned

• Release Planning Meeting
  • Any lessons learned?
  • Any thing that could be done better?
  • Start doing, continue doing, stop doing
  • What worked well and what did not?

• If we shift to F2F for the next meeting, what would we do different?
  • Would we want to attempt part F2F/part virtual?
Conference Agenda – Day 5

• Jun 25, 8am Friday (all times in PST; all times tentative and fluid)
• Unconference (quick presentations from community at-large)
  • Mini presentations, demos, feature suggestions, feature requests, etc.
• Adjourn at 11am
Unconference Topics

• TBD
Thank you